

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/907,364	07/17/2001	Bo Su Chen	M40 01375 US	6467
128	7590 05/02/2005		EXAMINER	
HONEYWE	LL INTERNATIONA	L INC.	FUREMA	N, JARED
101 COLUMI	BIA ROAD			
P O BOX 224	5		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MORRISTOV	VN, NJ 07962-2245		2876	

DATE MAILED: 05/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

σ	
17.3	
\/~	

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/907,364	CHEN, BO SU		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jared J. Fureman	2876		

Advisory Action	09/907,304	CHEN, BO SU			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jared J. Fureman	2876			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>07 April 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APR		-			
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires any (1) the mailing date of this Adv		- Employee and the con-			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date or . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI).	f the final rejection. RST REPLY WAS FILEI	D WITHIN TWO		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three montherarned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must l 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	, to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.		
AMENDMENTS	se filed within the time period set to	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	a).		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);			
appeal; and/or (d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		,			
4. \square The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendm	ent canceling		
7. A For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: None.	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will will not be entered, or b) ☐ will will will will will will will wi	ill be entered and an	explanation of		
Claim(s) allowed: <u>None</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> .					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3,4,7-10,12,14,16,18,19 and 21-34</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>None</u> . AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>n</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary		
7. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessarily.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:		
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☒ Other: See Continuation Sheet. 	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s)			
		Jared J. Fureman Examiner Art Unit: 2876			
		AIL OHIL. 20/0			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Re claim 1, "outer perimeters and"; Re claim 22, "said first and second transparent disks."; Re claim 23, "said first and second transparent disks."; Re claim 34, "an inner", "first and second transparent disks each have an outer surface", "at least one detector module", "near at least one outer surface associated with the second transparent disk", "is located proximate to said mechanical system near the outer surface associated with the first transparent disk" raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicants should note that Renner et al (US 4,641,027) also teaches that the transparent disks do not have to be bonded to one another and may rotate independently of each other based upon torsion in shaft 8 (see figure 20 and the corresponding description).

Claims 1, 3, 4, 7-10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 19 and 21-34 remain rejected as set forth in the final office action mailed on 2/10/2005.